UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 11-6909

PATRICK L. BOOKER,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

MCKITHER BODISON,

Respondent - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Anderson. Henry M. Herlong, Jr., Senior District Judge. (8:10-cv-01098-HMH)

Submitted: October 6, 2011 Decided: October 14, 2011

Before MOTZ, SHEDD, and KEENAN, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Patrick L. Booker, Appellant Pro Se. Donald John Zelenka, Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Brendan McDonald, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF SOUTH CAROLINA, Columbia, South Carolina, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Patrick L. Booker seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2006) petition and the court's order denying relief on his Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) motion to alter or amend. The orders are not appealable unless certificate circuit justice or judge issues а $\circ f$ а \S 2253(c)(1)(A) (2006). 28 U.S.C. appealability. See Α appealability will certificate of not issue substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2006). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that reasonable jurists would find that district court's assessment of the constitutional claims Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, debatable or wrong. (2000); see Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the petition states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Slack, 529 U.S. We have independently reviewed the record and at 484-85. conclude that Booker has not made the requisite Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials

before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED